2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD Grambling State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

				BASIC PROGRAM	INFORMATI	ION	·			-	
Program We	b Site	http://www.	gram.ed	u/academics/maj	ors/educatio	on/					
Approval/Accreditation			Status								
		State: Board	Approved								
		State: Board	Approved								
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC)									
		National: Na	Accredited								
		Education Ac									
		Preparation (
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (L	Indergr	aduate)							
				CANDIDATE SELE	CTION PROF	FILE					
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2015-16)								100%	
		Median GPA	3.24								
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2015-16)									
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years									
		(by 2015-16)									
Teaching Pro	omise	Data not yet	availabl	e. Enrolled		WW. 22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22					
Candidates/		Candidates			Completers				Total		
Completer		(2015-16)		26 9					35		
Diversity		Enrolled	Males Females								
		Gender	3 23								
		Enrolled	Hispar		Asian				Multi-Racial		
		Race	0	0	0		24	0	2	0	
	·	KNO	WLEDG	AND SKILLS FOR	TEACHING (OF CO	MPLET	ERS			
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2015-16)								100%	
	Pedagogical	Completer Pa	Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2015-16)						100%		
	Overall	Completer Pa	assage F	ate on all Assessm	nents (2015-	-16)				100%	
Clinical Expe	riences	Student Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching							180		
		Teaching	- 1	lock Hours of Clinical Experiences Ouring Student Teaching			1		Number of Clock Hours per Week	Total Number of Clock Hours	
				ļ				14	35	490	
Licensure Re	quirements	Number and Percentage of 2015-16 Completers That Meet State Licensing 100%									
		Requirements									
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet	Availab	le							
	P	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIV	ITY AND ALIGNM	ENT TO STA	TE NE	EDS OF	COMPLE	TERS		
Entry and Pe	rsistence in	Percentage 8	k Numb	er of 2015-16 Com	pleters Tha	t Bega	n Teacl	ning in 20	16-17	44% (n=4)	
Teaching in Public		Percentage 8	Data Not Yet								
Schools in Louisiana			Available								
		2011-12 Completers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16,								& 2016-17	
(Please examine		Number of		Number &	Number &			ber &	Number &	Number &	
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.)		2011-12 Completers		Percentage Teaching in 2012-13	Percentag Teaching 2013-14	in	Teach	entage ning in 4-15	Percentage Teaching in 2015-16	Percentage Teaching in 2016-17	
		100%		78%	78%			3%	67%	56%	
		(n=9)		(n=7)	(n=7)			=7)	(n=6)	(n=5)	

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics,

2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

Grambling State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System
Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMANO	E AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	3)					
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores								
K-12 Students	•			3.3						
	17) and Number of Scores for All	(n=45)								
(Please examine the 2018	New Teachers with Less than Two									
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching									
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores								
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
	Student Outcome Scores for the	40/	40/	200/	5504					
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	4%	4%	29%	62%					
	Effectiveness Levels	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=28)					
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores								
Skill	Practice Score (2014-15, 2015-16,	2,9								
	& 2016-17) and Number of Scores		(n:	=45)						
(Please examine the 2018	for All New Teachers with Less than	, ,								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Two Years of Teaching									
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores								
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
	Professional Practice Scores for the	0%	18%	73%	9%					
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=33)	(n=≤10)					
	Effectiveness Levels									
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores								
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	3.1								
Skill	17) and Number of Scores for New		(n	=45)						
	Teachers with Less than Two Years									
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	of Teaching									
Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores								
interpret the meaning of these	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective 2%	Effective Emerging 9%	Effective Proficient 64%	Highly Effective 24%					
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=29)	**					
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(11-510)	(11-210)	(11-29)	(n=11)					
	Effectiveness Levels	Telepool Control Control								
State Value Added Scores for New Teachers in	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17 N/A								
Grades 4-8 with Less than										
Two Years of Teaching by	Mathematics									
Content Areas (Ten or			(n=	N/A)						
More New Teachers)		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Himlaha Pitta attara					
				† 	Highly Effective					
		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%					
(Please examine the 2018			N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)						
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Science	N/A%	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A	N/A%					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Science	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) N (n=	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Science	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) N (n=	N/A% (n=N/A) I/A :N/A) Effective Proficient	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Science	N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A%	N/A% (n=N/A) (n= Effective Emerging N/A%	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A EN/A) Effective Proficient N/A%	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A%					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these		N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) (n=Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Social Studies	N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) (n=Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A EN/A) Effective Proficient N/A%	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A)					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Social Studies (Note: Data are not available –	N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective	N/A% (n=N/A) (n=Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A N/A SHEAT STATE	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A%					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Social Studies (Note: Data are not available – new assessments being	N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) (n=Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A% (n=N/A) N/A	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A)					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Social Studies (Note: Data are not available –	N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective	N/A% (n=N/A) (n=Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) Effective Emerging N/A	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A N/A Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) N/A Effective Proficient N/A	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Social Studies (Note: Data are not available – new assessments being developed.)	N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective	N/A% (n=N/A) (n=Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) Effective Emerging N/A	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A N/A Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) N/A Effective Proficient N/A	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Social Studies (Note: Data are not available – new assessments being developed.)	N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A	N/A% (n=N/A) (n=Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) Effective Emerging N/A	N/A% (n=N/A) N/A N/A SN/A) Effective Proficient N/A (n=N/A) N/A Effective Proficient N/A N/A	N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective					